



Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3484
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Organic and Chemical Pesticides: Impacts on Crop Health and Environmental Sustainability
Type of the Article	Review Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, though the information provided is a bit scanty	1. Thanks for the feedback We will make changes as required
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	NO	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The information provided in the manuscript is insufficient and the subsections should be numbered	In response to your suggestion, we will also incorporate numbered subsections to enhance the organization and navigation of the manuscript. This will allow readers to easily locate and reference specific sections of interest, thereby improving the overall clarity and accessibility of the document.
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes it is but lacks depth	
6. Are the references sufficient		



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The references are recent</p>	
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--



<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is quite scanty and lacks depth.</p> <p>1. Being a comparative review , the author should have included specific comparisons of organic and chemical pesticides (include names of the pesticides) used and their evaluation based on efficacy, environmental sustainability , acceptance , economic considerations and other indices of comparison mentioned in the manuscript. I believe this would have improved the depth of the work and</p> <p><i>Specific comments can be seen on the comment section to the right of the manuscript , this can be viewed of a laptop or desktop</i></p>	
<p>Optional comments</p>	<p>1. There was no literature review at all and for a review manuscript this is critical to readers can see previous work on the topic .</p> <p>2. <i>et al</i> in the main body of the manuscript should be italicized</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>1. References were poorly done</p> <p>2. The Volume, Number and pages of some journals cited were not included</p> <p>3. Some references did not include the source nor state whether the article was from a book, journal or other source .</p> <p>4. There were inconsistencies in writing the title of the references . Some were in upper casing while some it was only each word that was capitalized . I advise author to stick to capitalizing each word and perhaps</p>	<p>We acknowledge the importance of including a comprehensive literature review, especially in a review manuscript. We will incorporate a thorough review of previous works on the topic to provide readers with context and to demonstrate the significance of our research within the existing body of knowledge.</p> <p>We will ensure that "et al" is properly italicized in the main body of the manuscript, in accordance with standard formatting conventions.</p> <p>a. We will thoroughly review and improve the formatting of our references to ensure accuracy and completeness.</p>



	make them bold for emphasis	<p>b. We will include the Volume, Number, and page numbers for all journal citations, as appropriate.</p> <p>c. We will provide clear source information for all references and specify whether the articles are from books, journals, or other sources.</p> <p>d. We will ensure consistency in the capitalization of reference titles by capitalizing each word, as recommended.</p>
--	-----------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	